

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,959	NICOLI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(3) _____.

 (2) Richard Kim (Appl. Rep., reg. #48,360).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 June 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

47

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to amend claim 47 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)